



Interview Summary

Application No. Ap

Applicant(s)

Hallenbeck et al.

Examiner

Dave Nguyen

Group Art Unit 1633

(1) Dave Nguyen	(3)
2) Robert Esmond	
Date of Interview Jun 1	
Гуре: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal	(copy is given to $\ \square$ applicant $\ \square$ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration cond	lucted:   Yes  No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 wa	as not reached.
Claim(s) discussed: all claims	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
The examiner indicated that in view	what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  of the amendment filed on May 21, 1999 and applicant's response, the claims are  ms are in condition for allowance.
	nd a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render ed. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
	cant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
	SORMAL MOITTEN DECRONSE TO THE

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.